



March 17, 2011

CAN BASHING YOUR BOSS ON FACEBOOK GET YOU FIRED?

NLRB Facebook Firing Case Settled

On February 7, 2011, a case between the National Labor Relations Board (NLRB) and American Medical Response of Connecticut, Inc. (AMR), stemming from the termination of an employee after she posted negative remarks about her boss on her Facebook page, was settled. Under the settlement, AMR agreed to revise its internet posting policy to make the policy clearer and to avoid interfering with employees' protected rights.

This case provides another signal to employers of the need to review their social media policies to ensure the policies are clear and don't improperly interfere with employees' rights including, for unionized workforces, compliance with collective bargaining laws. This alert provides a brief discussion of the case and outlines issues employers should consider when drafting social media policies.

The Case

From her home computer, an employee of AMR made a posting on her Facebook page calling her supervisor a "scumbag" and comparing AMR management to "psychiatric patients." AMR responded to the post by firing the employee for violating a company policy that prohibited employees from disparaging the company or commenting on the company online without permission.

In its complaint regarding the firing, the NLRB alleged that AMR's nondisparagement policy was "overbroad" because it potentially infringed on an employee's right to discuss working conditions with other employees in violation of the National Labor Relations Act (NLRA). The NLRB also claimed that AMR's termination of the employee was illegal because she was complaining about the general terms and conditions of her employment and her prompting of other employees to "comment" and join the discussion, according to the NLRB, constituted "protected concerted activity" under the NLRA.

For employers in business and government alike, employees are your most valuable, and often most expensive, asset. How you handle employment issues can have a significant impact on your bottom line. Our labor and employment attorneys provide creative, strategic legal counsel – targeted to your mission and business environment – to help ensure the most proficient use of human resources, prevent costly disruptions, and help you identify and address issues before they become costly claims or lawsuits.

We specialize in providing labor and employment advice, counseling and litigation services to public and private employers.

Labor and Employment Team:

Mark P. Amberg
Sharon A. Rudnick
Jens Schmidt
Joshua P. Stump
Hwa Go
Andrea M. Nagles
Kate G. Watkinson

The Settlement

The case settled on February 7, 2011. As part of the settlement, AMR agreed to modify its Internet posting policy to include the following elements:

- Employees will be allowed to discuss wages, hours, and working conditions with other employees outside of the workplace.
- The company will not discipline or fire an employee for engaging in such discussions.

Things to Keep in Mind About the Settlement

The following should be kept in mind regarding the settlement between the NLRB and AMR:

- This was not a ruling or decision by a court or the NLRB. It was only a settlement of a case that was filed by the NLRB. It was based on a specific set of facts and has no precedential effect.
- The complaint also involved other claims – such as denial of the employee’s Weingarten rights to representation at an investigatory interview – so the settlement was not just based on the employer’s internet/social networking policy.
- This was not a First Amendment free speech case. The complaint alleged that the employer’s internet/social media policy was (1) overly broad, and (2) as applied, interfered with Section 7 of the NLRA – the right of employees to engage in protected concerted activity regarding wages, hours and terms/conditions of employment. The complaint alleged that the exchange of Facebook comments by employees that were critical of management constituted “protected concerted activity” under the NLRA. The complaint didn’t allege or claim that policies which restrict or limit work-related comments on social networking sites necessarily violate the NLRA or are per se invalid.
- Many restrictions on comments on social networking sites – e.g. linking work with inappropriate activities by an employee or hostile, profane, threatening language – are clearly okay to restrict or prohibit.

The Impact

What does this case mean for employers? While the settlement was not a ruling or a decision by a court or the NLRB, it points out potential issues with social media policies and the need for employers to review their policies. The following questions will prompt a good analysis in creating or revising a social media policy:

Does the policy address off-duty conduct? Employers should be cautious when restricting employees’ off-duty activities. For example, avoid policies that invade areas where employees have an elevated expectation of privacy (personal e-mail, password-protected Web pages), or discipline employees for engaging in protected union activity (e.g., discussing working conditions with co-workers). On the other hand, a policy prohibiting employees from disparaging the employer’s product or services likely is enforceable. Further, public employers need to be cautious not to infringe on employees’ First Amendment rights.

Does the policy fit the company’s work culture and environment? Creating a policy addressing employee use of social media should reflect the company’s unique culture and values. What tone do you want to set for your workplace? What types of activities do you want to encourage or prohibit for your employees? Guidelines for use of social media on and off-duty should reflect your company’s goals and culture.

How will employees have notice of the policy? Once the company has finished drafting or revising its social media policy, the policy must be enacted and enforced in order to be effective. Employees and supervisors should be notified in writing and educated about the new policy, especially with regard to significant changes that have been made.

The fast-moving area of social media law can expose employers to risk who, like AMR, inadvertently enact or enforce “overbroad” social media policies. You should have your social media policies reviewed by an experienced employment lawyer. “Social media in the workplace” is a rapidly developing area of law and there are a number of different laws that may apply and create traps for the unwary.

Please visit our new website at:

www.harrang.com

Nothing in this communication creates or is intended to create an attorney-client relationship with you, constitutes the provision of legal advice, or creates any legal duty to you. If you are seeking legal advice, you should first contact a member of the Labor and Employment Team with the understanding that any attorney-client relationship would be subsequently established by a specific written agreement with Harrang Long Gary Rudnick P.C. To maintain confidentiality, you should not forward any unsolicited information you deem to be confidential until after an attorney-client relationship has been established.

If you are not receiving our Client Alerts via email but would prefer such method of delivery, or if you would like to have your name removed from our mailing list, please contact Nancy Shelton at: nancy.shelton@harrang.com.

LOCATIONS:

Portland

1001 SW Fifth Avenue
16th Floor
Portland, OR 97204-1116
Phone: (503) 242-0000
(800) 315-4172
Fax: (503) 241-1458

Eugene

360 E 10th Avenue
Suite 300
Eugene, OR 97401-3273
Phone: (541) 485-0220
(800) 315-4172
Fax: (541) 686-6564

Salem

333 High Street, NE
Suite 200
Salem, OR 97301-3632
Phone: (503) 371-3330
(800) 315-4172
Fax: (503) 371-5336

www.harrang.com